

# Londons' Equation of Superconductivity

Zygmunt Morawski

Abstract: Londons' equation has been generalized for any number of dimensions. Next, an isotope equation has been explained.

Londons' equation of superconductivity has the shape:

$$\frac{mc^2}{4\pi ne^2} \Delta H = H$$

We have next:

$$m = \alpha|Q|$$

So

$$\beta \Delta H = Hm \quad (*)$$

$H$  and  $m$  are generally matrices  $4 \times 4$   
or in any case  $n \times n$   $n \in N$ .

It is necessary to generalize the Laplacian.

We have:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} & 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{n-1}^2} & \\ & & & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \end{array} \right]$$

Moreover,

$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x_i}$  the mixed member with the sign “-“

$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x_i}$  the mixed member with the sign “+“

$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}$  because  $\partial t^2 = (-\partial t)(-\partial t)$ .

We generalized the Laplacian for any number of dimensions:

$$m = [M]$$

Next we have:

$$\Delta(H - H_0) = (H - H_0)[M]$$

Since the exchange of phonons in the crystal lattice and the exchange of quanta in elementary particle physics are interactions, so:

- a) we have the next proof of an existence of unempty vacuum
- b) the interaction is superconductivity too, what is implicated by (\*)

Moreover, we can justify an isotope equation:

$$M_i T^c = const \quad (**)$$

It is implicated by (\*).

If in the critical situation  $\Delta H = 0$   
and if we assume  $H = \gamma + \delta T$ ,  
we obtain (\*\*).

In the equation:

$$\beta \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial_{ij}} \right]^2 H = [M_{ij}] H$$

$H$  may be a matrix too, so we have a multiplication of matrices.

The particular case (\*\*) is implicated by the fact, that:

$$H \sim T$$

because:

$$G = h - TS$$

and

$$H \sim G$$

$h$  - enthalpy of the phase transition  
 $S$  is continuous.

In the critical moment  $S = const$ . because the settlement is not kept already but it is not yet disturbed.

$H \sim G$  is logical, because the superconducting field is proportional to the field of free energy (because the superconductivity allows to think about freedom).